



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/556,931	11/16/2005	Jose Manuel Bartolome-Nebreda	PRD2059USPCT	5571
45511 7590 05/14/2009 WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR 2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891			EXAMINER MABRY, JOHN	
			ART UNIT 1625	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 05/14/2009	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@woodcock.com

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>		<b>Application No.</b> 10/556,931	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BARTOLOME-NEBRED A ET AL.	
		<b>Examiner</b> JOHN MABRY	<b>Art Unit</b> 1625	

**All Participants:**

(1) JOHN MABRY.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 May 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 2:39 pm

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
n/a

Claims discussed:  
n/a

Prior art documents discussed:  
n/a

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/John Mabry/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1625

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Several messages were left with Stephanie Barbosa without reply. An advisory action dated 09/09/2008 was sent in response to After Final Amendment dated 08/18/2008 and no further action on Applicant's behalf was taken. Examiner consulted with his Primary Examiner, R. Desai and it was decided to abandon application.